elastic constants of this work the previous invest the previous invest Table II in parenth advalues, and the values, and the values tants is especially go iabatic bulk modulu her than Goens' value of the bulk modulu that calculated in the that calculated in the than B_T/C_p ,

the specific heat is the molar volume and 300°K. The value 1.77×10¹² dyne cm

of the elastic constants e values shown for corne number of experime

7	
dC/dP	dC'/dP
2.38	0.580
2.32	0.580
2.35	0.580
2.31	0.639
1.79	0.438

very recent values inicated by Neighborsonic pulse-echo to ent and confirms ause to pay tribute ar constants for godifficult technique,

he pressure derivativer and gold crystated. As already state sult of at least two composed of several several

otained using sever III, is two percent derivatives and for rivative. These figure

preceding paper [Ph

opending measurements on each of copper, silver,

comparison of the presently determined $d \ln c/dP$ opper with the results obtained by Lazarus⁶ is in Table IV. In addition, the value obtained by for the pressure variation of the shear modulus hyerystalline copper, $d \ln G/dP$, is listed. It will be at that the Birch value, representing the derivative average shear constant, lies about midway between C and C' values, but that it lies higher than both of evalues as determined by Lazarus.

dgman's compressibility data are usually expressed the coefficients a and b in the equation

$$\Delta V/V_0 = -aP + bP^2. \tag{7}$$

equantity a is related to the isothermal bulk modulus the equation $a=(B_T)^{-1}$ and b is related to the presederivative of the bulk modulus by the equation

$$b = \frac{1}{2B_T^2} \left(\frac{dB_T}{dP} + 1 \right). \tag{8}$$

TYPE IV. Comparison of the pressure derivatives of the see shear constants of copper with previous data. Units are cm² dyne-1.

Investigator	$d \ln C/dP$	$d \ln C'/dP$	$d \ln G/dP$
Present	3.13	2.48	
Lazarusa	1.13	2.45	
Birchb			2.76

reference 6.

the values of B_T given in Table II, and our values B_s/dP (adiabatic), values of b have been computed. Let use of dB_s/dP instead of dB_T/dP is not serious; at calculation of the difference from Eq. (6) with help of standard thermodynamic relations shows that amounts to about 2%.)

ble V compares our values of b with the Bridgman (as modified by Slater²⁰ for copper and silver). Present ones are larger than the Bridgman value in use of copper, essentially the same for silver, but in the case of gold. The reason for the differences, have beyond the apparent uncertainty in our work, understood. It may be noted that in our acoustic of the quantity under discussion comes from the of a raw data plot such as Fig. 1 while in Bridgmethod it comes essentially from the curvature. Let that the present result is obtained by combinated observations for three waves is admittedly a tof the acoustic method but it is not felt to be assible for the discrepancies.

pressure derivatives of the elastic constants of cr, silver, and gold are repeated in Table VI, in form to be used later in the interpretation of the

Table V. Comparison of present values of the pressure derivative of the bulk moduli with the Bridgman values. The values are expressed as the constant b in the equation, $\Delta V/V_0 = -aP + bP^2$. Units of b are 10^{-12} cm⁴ kg⁻².

Material	Present b	Bridgman b	
Cu	1.8	1.3	
Aσ	3.3	3.1	
Au	1.3	1.8	

results. That is, the pressure derivatives are expressed as $\Omega dC/d \ln r$, where the variable r may be thought of as the distance between nearest neighbor atoms of the crystal and Ω is the atomic volume. The relation between the derivative of the elastic constant c with respect to $\ln r$ and its pressure derivative is given by

$$dC/d \ln r = -3B_T(dC/dP), \tag{9}$$

and similarly for C' and B. We shall hereafter refer to the quantity $\Omega dC/d \ln r$ as the hydrostatic strain derivative of the corresponding elastic constant. The values of Ω used are: Cu 11.81, Ag 17.05, Au 16.96, in units of $10^{-24}\,\mathrm{cm^3}\,\mathrm{atom^{-1}}$. Table VI illustrates the monotonic variation from copper to silver to gold of all these derivatives, a result to be expected of a homologous series of metals. It is felt that this good intercomparison of the three metals is additional justification of the present results in view of the discrepancies with previous workers shown in Tables IV and V.

INTERPRETATION

The elastic constants of a crystal can be expressed as the second derivative of the crystal binding energy with respect to the appropriate strain. The conventional model²¹ on which elastic constant calculations are based, considers that the only important contributions to the elastic constants arise from (1) a long-range Coulomb energy, contributing to the shear constants (2) the Fermi energy, assumed in monovalent metals to be a function of volume only and consequently contributing only to the bulk modulus, and (3) a shortrange repulsive interaction between neighboring closed shell ion cores. On the usual model, the short-range repulsions are considered to depend only on |r|, that is, they are assumed to act along lines joining nearestneighbor atoms. In this section we shall analyze the experimental data from the point of view of this con-

Table VI. Hydrostatic strain derivatives, $\Omega dC/d \ln r$, of the elastic constants B_s , C, and C' of copper, silver, and gold. Units are 10^{-12} erg atom⁻¹.

Cu	Cu	Ag	Au
В.	-264	-321	-543
C	-111	-120	-151
C'	-27.4	-33.2	-37.0

²¹ N. F. Mott, in *Progress in Metal Physics*, edited by Bruce Chalmers (Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1952), Vol. 3, pp. 90–94.

¹ C. Slater, Phys. Rev. 57, 744 (1940).